

E-filing  
FILED  
JUN - 7 2007

NO  
MP

RICHARD W. WIEKING  
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

APPEAL NO: 07-80050  
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE  
NINTH CIRCUIT  
C 07

JW  
2979 (PR)

IN RE: JEFFREY LAMONT TAYLOR  
Respondent

V.

PETER L. SHAW, Appellate Commissioner,  
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS;  
ORDER NO: 07-80050; PRE-FILING REVIEW ORDER; ET AL.

CASE NO: 07-80050  
"OBJECTING"  
To Honorable Appellate  
Commissioner, "ORDER";  
"PRE-FILING REVIEW ORDER"  
Filed May 15, 2007

NOW COMES PLAINTIFF/RESPONDENT JEFFREY LAMONT TAYLOR  
(In Pro-Per) INCARCERATED RESPONDENT/PLAINTIFF.

### INTRODUCTION

JEFFREY LAMONT TAYLOR is A physically AND -  
MENTALLY DISABLE STATE PRISONER WITH "NO"  
SOURCE OF INCOME AND "NO" ASSETS; AFTER -  
SUFFERING (1) DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE; DENIAL -  
ACCESS TO SERIOUS MEDICAL NEED'S, CARE;  
SEE NO-06-16793; TAYLOR-V-WILLARD, DR,  
Bowman, Et, AL; AFTER WANTON INFLECTION -  
PAIN SUFFERING (2) DUE TO INTERFERENCE TO  
SERIOUS DENTAL CARE NEED'S; (3) SEE NO.  
06-16794 (3) AFTER BEING BRUTALLY BEATEN ON  
SEVERAL OCCASSION'S, WHICH CAUSED PHYSICAL INJURY'S

EXHIBITS, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8,  
9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 1258 P.C.

Continued Page  
Introduction 2

Continued From Page (1) "Introduction"

Core P.(2) Intro: Wanton Infliction Pain Suffering,  
Denial Adequate medical care, needs to  
Cover up "Physical Injuries" Bruises —  
Incidents, of "Brutal Beatings" "EXCESSIVE  
FORCE" / OUTRAGEOUS CONDUCT By SALEM'S  
VALLEY - STATE PRISON OFFICIALS, CUSTOMS  
CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS, SARGENTS, WARDENS,  
CAPTAINS, WARDEN'S MAJ (RNS), Doctors  
medical staff employees, ET, AL, SEE  
CASE NO: 5 (1) Taylor - v - WILLARD, DR. BOWMAN ET, AL.  
06-16793, Due TO Deliberate Indifference / Cruel -  
Unusual Punishment, Plaintiff / Respondent Taylor  
was physically Intimidated / Wanton Infliction  
Pain, Suffering (caused) Physical, Emotional Injuries  
caused, SEE: CASE NO: 06-16794, Taylor -  
v - J. STOKER, Kenneth Ponder, DR. LEE, ET, AL. Due  
TO Respondent / Plaintiff, Taylor Race, Religious  
Disabilities, (He) was Denied, Delayed  
ADEQUATE DENTAL CARE which caused Wanton  
Infliction Pain, Suffering, caused good  
TEETH TO BE pulled Due TO Delay -  
TEETH, TOOTH THAT could have been  
SAVED HAD TO BE pulled Due TO  
Delay ADEQUATE DENTAL CARE TEETH BROKE  
OFF, Chipped / OTHER TEETH ROTTED which  
LEAD, TO Wanton Infliction Pain, Suffering  
Irreparable Damage TO Respondent / Plaintiff  
Taylor, TEETH, Appearance for life.

(2)

750 P.1.

Continued page (3)



Continued From page (2) Introduction:

Cont P (2) INTRO: SEE EXHIBIT (3) CASE NO: 06-16798 -

Taylor - v - Remigio; 44 SELBY ET AL. Respondent/Plaintiff

Taylor was Brutally Beaten; physically Assaulted

Injured/physical AND emotional Damages -

Caused; SEE; EXHIBIT (4) Respondent/Plaintiff

Taylor was Brutally Beaten, Hay Tied with

Handcuff Hands; legs; Put in Cage for 6 to

8 Hrs, physically Injured Due TO (Beating)

which caused, causing Respondent/On-

going physical, emotional Injuries still -

At this Filing/ SEE CASE NO: 06-16796 -

Taylor - v - G. D. JORDAN, ET AL. SEE: CASE

NO: 06-16775, TAYLOR - C/O Wilson, (RN) William

DR. ADYIA; ET AL. Respondent/Plaintiff Taylor was

Handcuffed, Dragged causing further Injuries

TO Already physical Injured shoulder -

Although Respondent/Plaintiff Taylor was

under Doctor/Therapy (ORDERS) Case

concerning (Handcuffing) (Transporting, walking

C/O Wilson (ET AL. Interfered with Doctor/

physical Therapist/ORDERS) Handcuffed,

Respondent/Plaintiff Taylor (Dragged)

Pulled shoulder out socket / then

CONSPIRED TO (Cover-up) Physical

Injuries & Denial Respondent/Plaintiff Taylor -

medical care, needs, which lead TO

WANTON Infliction, pain suffering, physical -

emotional, Injuries TO worsen

(3)

1258 P.C.

Continued page (4)

CONTINUED FROM PAGE (3) INTRODUCTION:

Cont P.(3) INTRO: SEE EXHIBIT (6) CASE NO: 06-16008; Taylor  
 v- C/O Wilson; Appeal Court, Eloy Medina, Et. AL. Respondent/  
 Plaintiff Taylor personal Republics medal, Chain was TAKEN  
 BY DEFENDANTS C/O Wilson; Et. AL. SOON THERE AFTER  
 VICTIM COMPENSATION GOVERNMENT BOARD GAVE  
 DEFENDANTS, Et. AL. CDC THE AUTHORITY TO SETTLE  
 AND PAY Respondent/Plaintiff Taylor OR RETER  
 UP TO \$ 300; DEFENDANTS C/O Wilson, Et. AL.  
 REFUSED; SEE EXHIBIT (7) CASE NO: 05-02247 ARJ (PR)  
 TAYLOR - v- C/O TOWER; D Facility (SNAP) medical staff, SEPT. 27, -  
 2004; Et. AL. OUTRAGEOUS CONDUCT BY C/O TOWER Et. AL.  
 HAS, IS CAUSING Respondent/Plaintiff TAYLOR physical  
 emotional, MENTAL INJURY'S SINCE SEPT 27, 2004 -  
 STILL AT THIS Filing physical INJURY'S, RETALIATION  
 BEING CARRED OUT, SUFFERING FROM AT THIS  
 Filing MAY 26, 2007; SEE EXHIBIT (8) CASE NO: 06-  
 16795, "RETALIATION", DUE TO OUTRAGEOUS CONDUCT BY -  
 C/O TOWER, CV-05-02245 ARJ - (PR); D.M. MANTREL, DR. -  
 WILLIAMS, Et. AL., WENT INTO (CONSPIRACY) TO COMMIT  
 MURDER, CAUSED / CAUSING Respondent/Plaintiff -  
 TAYLOR TO BE, live under imminent DANGER/  
 TO SUFFER, physical INJURY'S, ON SEVERAL  
 OCCASSIONS AFTER THE INCIDENT WITH C/O  
 TOWER, Et. AL., C-05-2245-ARJ - (PR) SEPT 27, 2004  
 SEE; EXHIBIT (9) CASE NO: THE OUTRAGEOUS CONDUCT  
 COMMITTED BY (RA) Flynn, C/O WILLER, C/O PACHETO,  
 DR. NYGUEN; AFTER SUFFERING physical INJURY'S  
 DUE TO Respondent/Plaintiff TAYLOR physical, mental

(4)

1258 P.1

CONTINUED PAGE (5)

INTRODUCTION



CONTINUED FROM PAGE (4) INTRODUCTION;  
Cont P(4) INTRO: Injuries, Illness; (HE) WAS -  
"FONDLED" / MOLESTED / SEXUAL HARRESSED -  
By An. (RN) Flynn Then When Respondent  
Plaintiff Taylor complained, Filed Greivance  
002 Complaint (HE) WAS "DENIED" medical  
care, needs, made TO SUFFER MANTON -  
Inhibition pain suffering, FALSE 115, 128  
Crimin Disciplinary Actions WAS TAKEN AGAINST  
Respondent / Plaintiff Taylor TO SLANDER -  
Plaintiff / Respondent Taylor, TO (COVER-UP)  
SEXUAL HARRESMENT, MOLESTATION, FONDLEING  
OF Respondent / Plaintiff Taylor SEE CASE  
NO: 06-16800 - TAYLOR - V - (RN) Flynn, ET AL  
DUE TO Respondent / Plaintiff, VICTIM TAYLOR  
MENTAL ILLNESS (HE) WAS "MOLESTED" By (RN)  
Flynn which "COVERED" FOR OTHER  
DEFENDANTS, whom physically INJURED  
Respondent / Plaintiff VICTIM TAYLOR  
Aledge (RN) Flynn, ET AL, MOLESTED  
Images, WAS ALLOWED TO AND get -  
AWAY with it! AS long AS (HE)  
(RN) Flynn, COVERED - UP THE BEATING'S  
PHYSICAL INJURY'S OF Respondent / VICTIM  
TAYLOR CAUSED BY DEFENDANTS -  
(O Reingo, ET AL; O Wilson; ET AL,  
(S VSP) Employee's, OUTRAGEOUS CONDUCT  
CRIMES; SEE EXHIBIT (10) CASE NO: -  
06-16791 -

(5)  
1752 P.C.

CONTINUED PAGE (6)  
INTRODUCTION

CONTINUED FROM PAGE (5) INTRODUCTION:

COIL P. (5) INTRO: Taylor - v - Lt. Rankin, CO WOLF; CO GIBBONS ET AL. Respondent/Plaintiff Taylor was charged with Allege Crime, Assault BATTERY on Another Inmate upon Investigation it came TO (light) known THAT Due TO CO WOLF ET AL. STAGE (Hit) set-up (Fight) TO Inture! them, Respondent/Plaintiff Taylor. That CO WOLF Defendant WAS STAGING FIGHTS, Arranging ASSAULTS In Appropriate Behavior was being CARRIED-out FOR (payments) (Assaults) (HITS) FOR HIRE! Defendant ET AL. Respondent's Plaintiff Taylor Investigation WAS STOPPED!! Respondent Taylor WAS "DENIED" Evidence!! Witnesses) Due process, Equal Protection of The LAWS, UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONS WAS VIOLATED TO Respondent Civil Rights; SEE EXHIBIT (11) CASE NO. 06-16060, TAYLOR - v - CO WOLF, CO GRAY; CO CATO, ET AL. Respondent/Plaintiff Taylor's personal property WAS TAKEN Due TO Plaintiff's RACE, Religion, MENTAL Disability, Plaintiff/Respondent Taylor WAS Then Threatened personal property TAKEN Given TO ANOTHER Inmate; Respondent Taylor shown Receipts, Cronos, And still WAS "DENIED" personal property, medical Appliances Due TO RACE, Religion Disability.

(6)

175 P.C.

COIL PAGE (7)

T. Introduction



CONTINUED From page (6) INTRODUCTION:

Carl P. G. INTRO: Respondent/Plaintiff VICTIM —  
 Taylor was ROBBED At gun point, "Denied"  
 personal property, medical DOCTORS ORDERED  
 Appliances by C/O Wolff, Gray, Et, AL.  
 SEE: EXHIBIT (12) CASE NO. 06-16007, Taylor  
 v- C/O Bryant, C/O Gomez, Et, AL. DUE TO  
 RETALIATION) Confidential Informant whom  
 Allege Plaintiff/Respondent Taylor Had  
 X-RATED photographs OF C/O Bryant, —  
 DUE TO RETALIATION By C/O Gomez, Et, AL. TO  
 Carry-out (Hit) Assignment ORDERED By C/O  
 Tower, SEE EXHIBIT (7); C/O Bryant, C/O Gomez  
 Et, AL. went into Respondent/Plaintiff (CELL)  
 TOOK Several personal Items TOOK Inreplace-  
 able photo of Respondent Taylor (Deceased —  
 another) Refused TO Return! Holding  
 until (T) Mr Taylor Return X-RATED  
 PHOTOGRAPHS C/O Bryant; SEE EXHIBIT (3)  
 ATTACHED; SEE: EXHIBIT (13) CASE NO. 06-16199 —  
 Taylor v- C/O Castro; DR. SCARMOZZINO; Et, AL  
 Respondent/Plaintiff VICTIM Taylor A MENTALLY  
 Physically Inmate, Prisoner Incarcerated At  
 Salem's Valley State Prison, WAS, HAS BEEN  
 Beaten / Brutally Several Times Causing  
 Physically Injuries / physical, emotional /  
 Damages TO Body, Brain emotional STATE  
 Then was put in (AD-seg) HOLE

(7)  
 125 R.C.

Continued page (8)  
 INTRODUCTION # 13

CONTINUED FROM PAGE (7) INTRODUCTION:

Cont P. (7) INTRO: After Another (Failed) ATTEMPT  
ON RESPONDENT'S/PLAINTIFF TAYLOR LIFE JANUARY  
8, 2005 (A) YOUNG ET AL. CARRYING OUT  
ORDERS BY TRAINING OFFICER OF TOWER  
TO (Burn The Niggers Alive) SOON  
THERE AFTER (I) MR. TAYLOR WAS  
PUT IN (AD-SEG) HOLE (TOWERED)  
PHYSICALLY ASSAULTED DENIED MEDICAL,  
MENTAL HEALTH CARE, NEEDS, ACCESS  
TO JUSTICE SEE: CASE NO: 06-16788

TAYLOR - V - SCARABOROUGH, CO. CAPTAIN ET AL. THE  
OUTRAGEOUS CONDUCT, CONSPIRACY BY  
CPS, SGT'S, LT, CAPT, WARDEN, ET AL. -  
SALAMIS VALLEY STATE PRISON THE "DENIAL"  
RESPONDENT/PLAINTIFF VICTIM TAYLOR UNITED  
STATES CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, 1st 5th  
6th, 8th, 14th, Amendments BY ARMY  
MEANS NECESSARY! WHICH LEAD, LEADING  
STILL LEADING RESPONDENT/PLAINTIFF VICTIM,  
TAYLOR TO SUFFER PHYSICAL INJURIES!  
EMOTIONAL, MENTAL DAMAGE THE  
ACTIONS (1 THRU 13) HAS, NOT ONLY -  
CAUSED RESPONDENT PHYSICAL INJURIES  
BUT HAS CAUSED ONGOING EMOTIONAL  
DAMAGES, PHYSICAL INJURIES HAS (LEAD)  
RESPONDENT DAILY ACTIONS TO BE LIMITED  
DUE TO PHYSICAL PAIN, SUFFERING HEADACHES  
INJURED KNEE, NECK, SHOULDER, LOWER BACK,  
WORSE (HIP) INJURY (8)

CONTINUED PAGE (9)



1 CONTINUED FROM PAGE (8) Introduction:  
2 CONE P(8) INTRO: Respondent/Plaintiff-Victim  
3 Taylor, Jeffrey Lambert Taylor, A STATE  
4 PRISONER, PHYSICALLY AND MENTALLY DISABLE  
5 WITH (NO) SOURCE INCOME!! AFTER  
6 BEING "BRUTALLY BEATEN, SHOT IN THE  
7 HEAD, DENIED" MEDICAL, MENTAL HEALTH CARE  
8 NEEDS, Respondent/Plaintiff VICTIM A  
9 UNITED STATES CITIZEN FILED SEVERAL  
10 CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINTS, SEE: EXHIBIT  
11 (14) ATTACHED: UNITED STATES, COURT APPEALS  
12 FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT (COURT ORDER  
13 FILED) MAY 15, 2007, CASE NO. 07-80050  
14 "PRE-FILING/REVIEW ORDER" Respondent/  
15 Plaintiff-Victim Taylor Alleged Since APRIL 23  
16 2002, AL ARRIVING AT SALEM'S VALLEY STATE  
17 PRISON (HIS) UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONAL  
18 RIGHTS (1st 5th 9th 6th 8th 14th Amend/  
19 ment Rights (HAS) IS STILL BEING VIOLATED  
20 DUE TO (HIS) RACE, RELIGION, RETALIATION -  
21 DISABILITY'S (ALL) HIS ACTIONS NOW BEFORE  
22 THE FEDERAL COURT. (HAS) (IS) AT ONE  
23 TIME BEEN DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO  
24 PROSECUTE/ ETC, BUT THE REAL  
25 REASON IS THAT Respondent/Plaintiff  
26 VICTIM TAYLOR IS (POOR)! Respondent/  
27 Plaintiff-Victim Taylor IS A "POOR"  
28 BLACK, JEWISH, DISABLE PHYSICALLY  
ANY MENTALLY DISABLE PERSON.  
(9)

Continued page (10)

Introduction

Continued From page (9) Introduction:

Civil P. (9) INTRO: Respondent/Plaintiff - Victim -  
Taylor Alleges That ON Sept 24, 2002  
Respondent/Victim Taylor WAS SHOT in THE  
HEAD; SOON THERE AFTER AFTER Respondent/  
Plaintiff - Victim Taylor FILED CIVIL RIGHTS  
COMPLAINT SEE; EXHIBIT (16) TAYLOR - V -  
GODINEZ; LT. MARTI; ET, AL. CASE NO: C-03-1643-  
JW (PR); Respondent/Plaintiff - Victim Taylor  
Alleges; SOON THERE AFTER THE CONSPIRACY  
TO (DENY) HIS UNITED STATES CONST-  
ITUTIONAL RIGHTS WAS, HAS IS BEING  
VIOLATED BY SARANIS VALLEY STATE PRISON  
EMPLOYEES, ET, AL. Respondent/Plaintiff  
Victim Taylor Alleges (HE) (DUE NOT)  
HAVE ANY MONEY; POOR, BLACK, DISABLED  
AND THAT IF (HE) DID, OR DID HAVE  
ANY MONEY THE TRUST ACCOUNT, PRISON  
OFFICIALS HAVE AUTHORITY, SEE EXHIBIT  
(16) ATTACHED: TRUST ACCOUNT of Respondent/  
Plaintiff Taylor (given) "Prison officials" / Authority  
TO TAKE ANY MONEY'S - Respondent/Plaintiff  
Victim Receive (Am) FORMS TO (COURT'S)  
SEE EXHIBIT (16) ATTACHED: Truly it's  
NOT Respondent's ATTOR TO (NOT PAY) /  
COURT COST it's JUST THAT Respondent/  
Plaintiff, Victim Taylor HAVE (NO) MONEY!  
SEE EXHIBIT (16) AT THIS TIME PAST (6 months)  
TO 1 year HAVE BEEN, WAS UTTERLY penniless AND

(10)

Continued page (11.)

INTRODUCTION



Continued From page (10) Introduction:  
Cont'd. (10) Intro: And simply could not pay  
The money TO (Prosecute)! His Civil  
Rights TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION  
Being VIOLATED SEE: EXHIBIT (14) ATTACHED  
it ("Ain't (my) Fault") That I'm "permitted"  
POOR, BLACK, JEWISH, DISABLED! AND  
THE OUTRAGEOUS CONDUCT. DUE TO  
THE (RACIS!) MISUSE OF AUTHORITY  
Carried out, being carried out  
by DEFENDANT'S (ALL) CASES, CITED  
EXHIBIT (14) Respondent/Plaintiff - Victim  
Being BRUTALLY BEATEN, Physically Injured  
Emotional, MENTAL Injuries Caused!  
Trying to be covered up! I, MR.  
TAYLOR Respondent/Plaintiff. Victim -  
TAYLOR ONLY PRAY, MORE Request  
Access TO THE HALLS OF JUSTICE!  
ACCESS TO COURTS 1st Amendment  
Rights UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION -  
TO ADDRESS my 1st 4th, 5th, 6th,  
14th, Amendment Rights Being VIOLATED  
Each case cited (Court Order)  
PRE-Filing Review ORDER MAY 15, 2007!  
SEE EXHIBIT (14) ATTACHED! Respondent/  
Plaintiff - Victim TAYLOR Broadly Speaking  
These (CASES) RAISES THE ISSUE -  
OF WHETHER, ACCESS TO THE HALLS  
OF JUSTICE!

(17)

1758 P.L.

Continued page (12)  
Introduction

Continued From page (11) Introduction:  
Cont P. (11) Intro, For A Destitute Poor,  
Jewish, physically, mentally DISABLE  
prisoner will be preserved, consonant  
with existing LAW AND Congress most  
Recent (enactments), "Ultimately"  
I Mr. Taylor, Respondent only seeks  
The Opportunity to Have my Civil Rights  
ACTIONS // Adjudicated ON THE MERITS,  
SO FAR. However, I have been being  
DENIED "This Opportunity As A  
Result By The Honorable Circuit  
Justices, NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF  
Appeals "ERRONEOUS" Respondent / Plaintiff,  
Victim TAYLOR TO DEMAND HIS JURY  
TRIAL TO (his) Civil Rights Actions //  
Adjudicated ON THE MERITS / SO FAR  
However, HE (HAS) BEEN "DENIED"  
This Opportunity AS A RESULT OF THE  
DISTRICT COURT OF CALIFORNIA, AND THE  
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS  
APPLICATION OF (ERRONEOUS) LAWS /  
UNDERSTANDING OF THE 1<sup>ST</sup>, Amendment  
Rights TO (Justice) FOR THE (POOR  
AS THE (Rich)) Respondent / Plaintiff, Victim  
TAYLOR Allege: The understanding of  
RELEVANT LAW, being issued by The  
DISTRICT COURT; NINTH CIRCUIT COURT  
OF APPEALS ITS (12)  
1258 P.C. Continued page (13)  
Introduction



Continued from page (17) Introduction  
 Cont P. (17) Intro; Abuse, of Discretion!  
 in EXERCISING its power of (Dismissal) /  
 Failure to prosecute (Alleged) / Due  
 to Respondent / Plaintiff - Victim - Taylor  
 is poor, Black, DISABLE  
 physically, mentally DISABLE  
 The Honorable Circuit Justices  
 COURT OF APPEALS failure to -  
 follow vital Procedural Requirements  
 AND its VIOLATION of Respondent's /  
 Plaintiff - Victim TAYLOR Due -  
 process, Equal Protection of the  
 LAWS, UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION  
 1st 4th, 5th, 6th, 8th, 14th, Amendments  
 Respondent / Plaintiff Jeffrey Lamont  
 Taylor Pray, more Request, The  
 Honorable Circuit Justices, Court  
 of Appeals to "Dismiss" Reverse  
 the "PRE-FILING REVIEW ORDER" / May  
 15, 2007 "ORDER" / Am (Grant)  
 Continue, Respondent's, in formal -  
 papers' STATUS THAT **HAS** been  
 "GRANTED" Requested, in each  
 of Respondent's / Plaintiff Taylor  
 Civil Rights Violations (1) 06-16007; (2)  
 06-16008; (3) 06-16060; (4) 06-16775; (5) 06-16791-  
 (6) 06-16793; (7) 06-16794; (8) 06-16795; (9) 06-16796;  
 (10) 06-16798; (11) 06-16799; (12) 06-16800; (13) 06-16888; (14) ~~06-16889~~  
 C-03-1643-JW-(PR). (14)  
 1258P-C. Continued page (17)

Continued From PAGE (13) Introduction:  
 Court P. (13) Intro: TO BE SET, FOR JURY-  
 TRIAL, SO THAT Respondent/Plaintiff Taylor-  
 may HAVE (HIS) Justice Day in Court  
 DUE TO (HIS) (CIVIL RIGHTS) / Being -  
 VIOLATED ONLY THEN will Respondent/  
 Plaintiff Taylor HAVE (HIS) Due process -  
 Equal protection of The law, Rightful Day -  
 in COURT; ONLY THEN will Respondent/Plaintiff  
 Taylor enjoy ~~THE~~ MEANINGFUL AND Adequate  
 Access TO THE HALLS OF JUSTICE TO  
 WHICH ALL UNITED STATES CITIZENS ARE  
 ENTITLED (RICH) OR (POOR) ARE ENTITLED /  
REGARDLESS OF THEIR ECONOMIC STATUS -

## II

### STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

This Court HAS JURISDICTION TO HEAR -  
 This Appeal under 28 U.S.C. § 1291 THE  
 NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, PRE-Filing  
 ORDER) MAY 15, 2007; SEE EXHIBIT (14) ATTACHED.  
 Respondent/Plaintiff JEFFREY LAMONT TAYLOR -  
 OBJECTS, OPPOSE, HONORABLE PETER L. SHAW -  
 Appellate Commissioner "ORDER" MAY 15, 2007  
 CASE NO. 07-80050; WITH THIS NOTICE  
 Filing MAY 28, 2007.

(14)

17.59 P.M.



CONTINUED FROM PAGE (10)

### III

#### ISSUES PRESENTED

IS THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT IN (ERROR) By Dismissing Respondent/Plaintiff Jeffrey Lamont Taylor - Civil Rights CASE'S, SEE: EXHIBIT (14) ATTACHED DUE TO "FAILURE TO PROSECUTE". DUE TO Respondent/Plaintiff Jeffrey Lamont Taylor IS POOR, Respondent/Plaintiff Taylor ANDGE (HIS) CIVIL RIGHTS WAS, HAS, IS BEING BEEN VIOLATED SEE ATTACHED CASE'S FILED EXHIBITS 1 THRU 15. Respondent/Plaintiff Jeffrey Lamont Taylor IS PHYSICALLY AND MENTALLY DISABLED Respondent/Plaintiff PRISONER Whom WAS "GRANTED" INFORMA PAUPERIS ON SEVERAL OCCASSIONS DUE TO (HIS) CIVIL RIGHTS BEING VIOLATED 13th, 14th, 15th, 16th, 17th, 18th, 19th, 20th, 21st, 22nd, 23rd, 24th, 25th, 26th, 27th, 28th, AMENDMENTS TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. ON SEVERAL CIVIL RIGHTS, CASE'S, HONORABLE DISTRICT COURT, NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS HAS (RE-DACTED) TOOK - BACK Respondent/Plaintiff Jeffrey Lamont Taylor INFORMA PAUPERIS STATUS. DUE TO Respondent/Plaintiff Taylor (HAS) "NO" MONEY/Income

(10)  
1258 P.C.

CONTINUED PAGE (16) -  
ISSUES PRESENTED

CONTINUED FROM PAGE (15) ISSUES PRESENTED  
 CONT P. (16) ISSUES, SEE EXHIBIT (17) ATTACHED:  
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT (Threats)  
 "ORDER'S" TO RESPONDENT/PLAINTIFF TAYLOR  
 CONCERNING PAYMENTS, CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINTS  
 VIOLATIONS AGAINST RESPONDENT/PLAINTIFF TAYLOR  
 SEE EXHIBIT (17) SEE EXHIBIT (16) RESPONDENT,  
 PLAINTIFF TAYLOR JUST (DO NOT) HAVE  
 NOT HAD ANY - ANY (MONEY'S) SINCE  
 (I) DON'T KNOW WHEN ~~RECEIVED~~  
 \$ 20.00 FROM X-WIFE 1,2 YEARS AGO -  
 BUT WAS ROBBED BY CP TOWER, CP BETTMAN  
 CP GONZALES, SGT MOORE TOOK \$ 20.00  
 USED FOR (SUPERBOWL PARTY) BUT  
 ANYWAY (I) RESPONDENT/PLAINTIFF TAYLOR  
 CAN, AT THIS TIME! COULD - NOT COMPLY  
 WITH HONORABLE DISTRICT COURT, CALIFORNIA, OR  
 UNITED STATES NINTH CIRCUIT, COURT OF  
 APPEALS "ORDER'S" // FOR RESPONDENT/  
 PLAINTIFF TAYLOR TO (PAY) FILING FEES  
 SEE EXHIBITS 16; (17) (WAS) IS NOT  
 RESPONDENT/PLAINTIFF TAYLOR FAULT BECAUSE  
 HE IS POOR HAD, (HAS) ABSOLUTELY NO  
 INCOME OR MEANS TO AFFORD THE FILING  
 FEES, PRACTICAL FILING FEES

IV  
 FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND  
 WITH THIS OBJECTION, OBJECTION, RESPONDENT/  
 PLAINTIFF TAYLOR (16) TAYLOR, (IN PROPER)  
 1758 P.C. CONTINUED PAGE (17)  
 butler



1 CONTINUED From page (16) Factual procedural background;  
 2 cont. p. (16) - Background: physically, mentally  
 3 DISABLE (prisoner) seeks nothing more than  
 4 TO HAVE (HIS) (OPPORTUNITY) TO TESTIFY,  
 5 put evidence, witnesses - TO THE FIVE-  
 6 going FACTS, Brutal Beatings, (2) physical Injuries  
 7 (3) Deliberate Indifference; serious medical needs, care,  
 8 Retaliation; misuse Authority Denial Due process;  
 9 Equal protection of the laws; 115 Hearings;  
 10 (50) Robbery of personal property Due TO  
 11 Respondent/Plaintiff Taylor mental illness, physical  
 12 INJURIES Respondent/Plaintiff was, has been  
 13 FORTLED/molested, "Denied" Adequate Investigation  
 14 TO COVER-UP Incident's 1- thru (15) Which  
 15 HAS LEAD TO physical Injuries, Emotional, mental  
 16 INJURIES Respondent/Plaintiff Taylor only pray  
 17 more, Request for (HIS) Doing, Truly TRIAL,  
 18 before THE HONORABLE COURT SO  
 19 THAT THE JURY, Honorable Judge (COURT  
 20 CAN DECIDE, BASED ON THE (MERITS) evidence  
 21 witnesses) OF (HIS) (CASE'S) Whether  
 22 (HIS) (Civil Rights) HAS BEEN VIOLATED  
 23 by Defendant's, (SVSP) EMPLOYEES  
 24 ET AL. Due TO THE BRUTAL BEATINGS  
 25 DELIBERATE Indifference, medical Denial  
 26 TO serious medical needs, care! which HAS  
 27 lead, leading Respondent/Plaintiff Taylor  
 28 TO WASTON Infliction Pain Suffering, Inhuman  
 Treatment, living conditions DAILY!

125 P.C.

Continued page (18)  
Procedural background

Continued FROM (17) Factual and procedural Background  
Court P. (18) Background (Denial) life, liberty, property  
1st, 4th, 5th, 6th, 8th, 9th Amendments Rights  
TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION!!  
SEE EXHIBITS 1 THRU 18 ATTACHED Concerning  
Respondents/Plaintiff TAYLOR ('FACTS') +  
SUMMARIZED Below; Throughout make  
plain Respondent/Plaintiff TAYLOR HAS BEEN  
DENIED 1st, 5th, 4th, 6th, 14th, Amendment  
Rights UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION HAS  
BEEN "DENIED" "Opportunity TO Address", Con-  
stitutional violations, "suffered" "Suffering" "Throug"  
The "enforcement" of UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAWS  
Rich man poor man laws 1st Amendment  
Rights Access TO COURTS, JUSTICE, COURT-  
HOUSE STEPS Due TO Respondent/Plaintiff  
Being (poor) physically, mentally, I  
can't work, can't get money, TO  
PAY COURT FILING COST Which is DEPRIVE!  
Depriving Respondent/Plaintiff TAYLOR (HIS)  
Due Process, EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS,  
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. Due TO Erroneous  
LAWS!! AND An (Abuse) OF Discretion!  
By The Northern District Courts, NINTH  
Circuit Court of Appeals of California  
AND Through procedural shortcomings in The  
Disposition OF THE "ORDER", ORDER'S —  
Below, SEE EXHIBITS 14, 17, 18 —  
Where Honorable Judges, Courts ORDERED  
(18) Continued page (19)  
758P.C. procedural Background



(Continued) From page (18) Procedural Background;  
 Cont. P. (18) Background: SEE, EXHIBIT (18)  
 Issues; Civil Rights VIOLATED By  
 DEFENDANTS (SVSP) ET, AL, EXHIBITS  
 1 Thru 18;

## "Filing Fee" SAGA

\* Opposing OBJECTIONS, By Respondent/  
 Plaintiff Appellant - Victim TAYLOR TO  
 Honorable Judge Court Northern District of  
 California, Honorable Circuit Justices -  
 NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS  
 May 17, 2006; Sept. 1, 2006; EXHIBIT 18 Am) 17)  
 May 15, 2007 "ORDER" PRE-Filing REVIEW -  
 ORDER FILED By Honorable PETER L. SHAW -  
 Appellate Commissioner.

SINCE Respondent Plaintiff Victim JERREY  
 LAMON TAYLOR Physically, Mentally ILL/  
 DISABLE Prisoner, Whom Can't WORK TO  
 get 1st Amendment Rights Access TO  
 Justice, Courts, UNITED STATES CON-  
 STITUTIONAL RIGHTS (HE) Respondent/plaintiff-  
 Victim Taylor Whom WAS, is penniless  
 (HE) is, WAS UNABLE TO pay Civil Rights  
 Violations Filing FEES SEE: EXHIBIT 17, ATTACHED  
 SEE, EXHIBIT (18) FILED May 1, 2006; Sept 1, 2006;  
 EXHIBIT (14) FILED May 15, 2007; ("OSC"), Dismissal  
 ORDER; PRE-Filing Review ORDER.

(19)

1258 P.C.

(Continued) page (20)  
 REMITTANCE AND RECLASSIFICATION

Continued from page (19) procedural background  
 cont. p. (19) background: Respondent/plaintiff -  
 Victim Taylor responded to All Court  
 orders, (see) Appeared Dismissals  
 explaining (he) "Do - not" Have money  
 funds, to pay that (he) was  
 physically, mentally disabled and  
 "Did - not" have the money -  
 to pay court cost see exhibit 8  
 (ff) Any money, would of came  
 into Respondent/plaintiff - victim Account  
 it would of. Immediately been forwarded  
 to courts, see exhibit (8) Federal  
 filing holds (see; exhibit (8) AS THE  
 statement indicates) The funds has,  
 had Revolved Around. paying Federal court  
 filing fees when possible, Activities -  
 Related to the pursuit of his legal Actions  
 including legal copying see: exhibit (8) Federal  
 filing holds **July** 1, 2006 thru March 13, 2007

## V STANDARD OF REVIEW

A District Court's Dismissal of a Action  
 For Failure to comply with Any ORDER -  
 of the Court is typically reviewed for  
 Abuse of Discretion see: *Eldridge - v -*  
*Block* 832 Fed 1132, 1136 (9th Cir 1987) -  
 moreover

(20)  
1258 P.C.

Continued page (21)  
STANDARD OF REVIEW



Continued from page (20) STANDARD of Review:  
Carl P. (20) Review; it is well-settled that  
where Courts exercise of Discretion is  
based on an ~~ERRONEOUS~~ UNDERSTANDING  
OF THE LAW, THAT DECISION MAY  
BE FREELY OVERTURNED SEE: In re  
ARLEN 176 F.3d 1226, 1228 (9th Cir 1999) AS  
THE NINTH CIRCUIT HAS NOTED -  
"[C]onclusions of law ... are re-  
viewable de novo, and an exercise  
of Discretion based on an erroneous  
conclusion of law can be freely  
OVERTURNED by the Appellate Court -  
LE Grand STEEL products Co. - v - Goldberg 716  
F.2d 318, 321 (9th Cir 1986)

Additionally, A DISTRICT COURT'S interpretation  
of The Federal Rules is AN APPLICATION of law  
reviewed de novo SEE UNITED STATES  
v - Benson 172 F.3d 1121, 1125 (9th Cir 1999)  
it is AN AXIOMATIC principle of our legal-  
system THAT A physically, MENTALLY,  
DISABLE individual should not be Deprived  
of meaningful Access TO Justice, Courts AFTER  
Being BRUTALLY Beaten, physically Injured -  
Denied Adequate medical care, needs  
Deliberate Indifference TO serious medical  
needs, care, THAT lead, leading TO  
constant Infliction pain AND Suffering  
DAILY!

(21)

1758 P.C.

Continued page (22)

STANDARD of Review

Continued from page (21) STANDARD of Review:  
Civil (21) Review: ROBBED personal property,  
THE "DENIED" (His) Civil Rights, DAY IN  
COURT! Because of (His) POVERTY!  
However, AS A RESULT of Respondent/  
Plaintiff - Victim Jeffrey Lamont Taylor -  
Inability TO pay COURT Filing Fee's  
Because (He) is poor, physically, ment-  
ally DISABLE - THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT CALIFORNIA, NINTH  
CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS "DISMISSED"  
Respondent/Plaintiff - Victim Taylor Civil  
Rights COMPLAINTS; with this opposition,  
these objections, IT is. TAYLOR SLOW  
Cause, pray, move simply ASKS TO HAVE  
my valid grievances HEARD before A -  
COURT, JURY of LAW, FEELS Regardless  
of my impecuniousness, Respondent seeks -  
nothing more than the opportunity TO HAVE  
my civil rights claims ADJUDICATED on their  
merits from THE BRUTAL BEATINGS, TO THE  
DELIBERATE Indifference to serious medical needs  
TO THE Physical Injuries, EMOTIONAL, mental  
DAMAGES! The DISTRICT COURT'S, NINTH  
CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS (Dismissal's) of  
Respondent/Plaintiff; Victim Taylor Civil  
Rights Claims, Actions, THE PRE-Filing  
Review "ORDER" represents A DEPRIV-  
ATION; of Respondent/Plaintiff - Victim Taylor  
(22)  
17586.C - Continued page (23)  
STANDARD of Review



CONTINUED From page (22) SUMMARY of Review  
COURT (22) Review: RIGHTS TO THE UNITED  
STATES CONSTITUTION'S 1<sup>ST</sup> (14<sup>TH</sup>)  
AMENDMENT'S, ACCESS TO COURT'S -  
JUSTICE, Due process; Equal protection  
OF THE LAWS TO THIS effect The  
Filing fees; provisions, TO, FOR A Indigent  
poor, DISABLE person VIOLATES ~~RESPONDENT'S~~  
TAYLOR CONSTITUTIONAL Right of meaning  
Access TO The Judiciary, moreover The  
enforcement OF This Filing fee; TO A poor,  
DISABLE person, REPRESENTS A VIOLATION OF,  
RESPONDENT'S/PLAINTIFF TAYLOR, equal protection  
Rights, This conclusion is inescapable whether  
The Court Applies strict scrutiny OR Rational  
BASIS Review HE Does NOT possess The  
means TO pay An initial payment, PARTIAL -  
Filing fee AS The District Courts; NINTH  
CIRCUIT COURTS OF APPEALS Request  
FOR Example The PLRA MANDATES "In -  
no event" shall A prisoner be prohibited  
From bringing A civil Action... FOR The  
Reason THAT The prisoner has NO Assets  
AND NO means By which TO pay The  
initial PARTIAL Filing fee 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(4)  
(Emphasis Added) This provision WAS  
never ONCE Acknowledged By The District  
Court; NINTH Circuit Court Appeals in  
its Discussion of (23) Dismissal Recommendation  
1258 P.C. Continued page (24)

1 CONTINUED From page (23) STANDARD of Review  
2 can P. (23) Review: Furthermore, The Application  
3 of This provision is VITAL TO The Constitutionality  
4 of The PIRA on Due process grounds, AS  
5 it ensures That All potential litigants  
6 Have Adequate, effective AND meaningful  
7 Access TO The Courts, Justice level  
8 if They ARE severely indigent.  
9 Under NINTH CIRCUIT Precedent, Respondent/  
10 Plaintiff TAYLOR qualifies AS A plaintiff/prisoner  
11 suffering From Abject poverty AND possessing A  
12 legally-recognizable inability TO pay The  
13 Court Filing FEES; PARTICULAR Filing FEES, Admittedly  
14 When Determining The Ability of an indigent  
15 pauper's plaintiff TO pay A particular Filing fee,  
16 The Court may Consider The plaintiff's CASH  
17 Flow in The Recent PAST, AND The extent -  
18 TO which The plaintiff HAS Depleted His  
19 Savings on nonessentials" Alexander - v - Carson -  
20 Adult High School 9 F3d 1448, 1449 (9th Cir 1993)  
21 However, in The Case At Hand, TAYLOR -  
22 HAS DEMONSTRATED His Abject poverty, His  
23 inability TO pay (His) particular Filing FEE IS -  
24 NOT merely A lifestyle choice, Whereby MR.  
25 TAYLOR'S spendthrift ways HAVE FINALLY -  
26 Caught up with Him, RATHER, His inability -  
27 stems from utter pennilessness AND A com-  
28 plete lack of Resources SEE EXHIBIT (8) ATTACHED.

(24)

1258 P.L.

Continued page (25)

STANDARD Review



Continued from page (24) SUMMARY of Review  
Civil P (24) Review:

Respondent/Plaintiff Taylor Object  
Oppose "ORDER" but the NINTH  
CIRCUIT COURT APPEALS "ORDER"  
TO "Respond Show Cause" Why!  
The Honorable Court should not  
ENTER the following PRE-Fitting RE-  
VIEW ORDER FILED/MAY 15, 2007 CASE-  
NO: 07-80050; Respondent/Plaintiff  
Taylor CAN cite, Argue 100  
Cases, concerning The Brutal/  
Beatings, MIS use Authority/  
Deliberate Indifference TO serious  
medical needs, CARE (HE) HAS  
SUFFERED AT THE HANDS OF  
Defendants, All CHARGES EXHIBIT  
(14) (ORDER) Respondent HAS  
evidence, witnesses TO ALL  
Allege AND (who) IS THE  
NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF  
APPEALS, DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT CHIEFCLERK  
TO Allege, CLAIM Respondent/  
Plaintiff Taylor CLAIMS ARE Re-  
petitious, Frivolous, AND THAT  
Respondent Taylor FAILURE TO  
PROSECUTE DUE TO (HE) Respondent/  
Plaintiff Taylor

25)

1258 P.C.

Continued page 26

Review

(Continued from page (25) STANDARD of Review  
cont'd (25) Review: is poor/ physically,  
mentally DISABLE and have not,  
do not have any money Respondent  
Plaintiff Allege (HE) HAS, WAS  
BEEN BRUTALLY BEATEN ON several  
occassions, physically injured  
(Denial) "Adequate medical  
DENTAL care, which HAS  
LEAD TO PLAINIFF TO suffer  
wanton Infliction Pain suffering  
Respondent/ Plaintiff Taylor HAS  
BEEN MOLESTED by Defendant's  
ET AL. Respondent/ Plaintiff Taylor  
A UNITED STATES Citizen, poor,  
Black, Jewish, physically mentally  
DISABLE ONLY ASK, pray, move  
Request (His) Civil Rights violations  
TO be Addressed AS they want  
IF (HE) Respondent HAS THE (money)  
Court Filing fees TO prosecute /  
Respondent/ Plaintiff - victim, Taylor only  
Asks For Equal PROTECTION OF THE  
LAWs, Due process Fundamental Right  
TO Address (His) 9<sup>th</sup> 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup>,  
14<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup> Amendment Rights TO THE  
UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION Being  
VIOLATED / Rodriguez - v - COOK 169 F3d 1176 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir  
1998)

(26)

1752 P.C.

(Continued page (27))

Review



Continued From page (26) STANDARD of Review:

(cont p(26) Review: *Romero - v - EVANS* 517 U.S. - 620 (1996): Respondent / Plaintiff, Victim Taylor will cite only, Argue, conclude with (He) physically, mentally disabled, poor black prisoner, UNITED STATES Citizen pray move, Request (His) DAY IN COURT before a (Judge) to prove, show (His) UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, WAS HAS, IS BEING VIOLATED.

1<sup>st</sup> Amendment UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION  
 4<sup>th</sup> Amendment UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION  
 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION  
 6<sup>th</sup> Amendment UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION  
 8<sup>th</sup> Amendment UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION  
 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION

### " CONCLUSION "

For The Forego Reason's Above  
 Throughout Respondent / Plaintiff - Victim Jeffrey AMON TAYLOR OBJECTION'S OPPOSITION, ORDER TO SHOW Cause (Why) Pre-Filing Review ORDER is not only Denying Respondent 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment Rights, But ALSO Violating Respondent's, Due Process, Equal Protection of The Law // Due to Respondent being poor. Respondent / Plaintiff Jeffrey AMON TAYLOR (27) Demand JURY TRIAL in All Civil Rights Violations. Respectfully Submitted  
 17.58 P.C. 11/2/07 11:47 AM  
 11-29-2007